

**MINUTES OF THE COURT OF APPEAL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT**

August 15, 2000

DIVISION THREE

Court convened at 9:30 A.M.

Present: Klein, P.J., Croskey, J., Kitching, J., Aldrich, J. and Valorie Gray, Deputy Clerk.

Each of the following:

B133403 People v. Bacilio
B135241 People v. Figueroa
B133596 People v. Fitzgerald
B131424 People v. Monroy
B138404 People v. Neeley
B134263 People v. Gaye
B134617 People v. Clinton
B132553 People v. Moya
B133988 People v. Fearnley
B136003 People v. Christopher C.
B134652 People v. Peterson
B135062 People v. James R.
B134543 People v. Rose
B132685 People v. Yorker
B137212 People v. Earnshaw
B129488 Rothman v. First Bank & Trust, et al.

Argument waived, cause submitted.

B136128 Baines Pickwick Limited
 v.
 City of Los Angeles, et al.

Merits:

Argued by Dorothy Berry, deputy city attorney for appellants and by Craig S. Elkin for respondent. Cause submitted.

DIVISION THREE (Continued)

B132693 Transamerica Occidental Life Insurance Company
 v.
 Wright

Merits:
Argued by Kimberly A. Knill for appellant and by Bernard P. Simons for respondent. Cause submitted.

B133297 People
 v.
 Kidwell

Merits:
Argued by Michael Tanner for appellant and by Kenneth C. Byrne, deputy attorney general, for respondent. Cause submitted.

B134543 People
 v.
 Santoyo

Merits:
Argued by Leonard J. Klaif for appellant and by April S. Rylaarsdam, deputy attorney general, for respondent. Supplemental letter brief due by respondent on August 21, 2000, reply due on August 25, 2000. Submission deferred.

B133436 County of Los Angeles
 v.
 Ernesto S. Favis

Merits:
Argued by Lina J. Foltz for appellant and by Tammy Yuriko Nakada, deputy district attorney, for respondent. Cause submitted.

Court recessed at 11:30 A.M.

DIVISION THREE (Continued)

Court reconvened at 1:30 P.M.

Present: Klein, P.J., Croskey, J., Kitching, J., Aldrich, J. and Valorie Gray, Deputy Clerk.

B131770 Lechuza Villas West
 v.
 California Coastal Commission et al.

Case called, appearances made by Terence M. Sternberg and Joseph Barbieri, deputy attorney general, for appellants and by Fred N. Gaines for respondent. Matter continued to October 17, 2000 at 1:30 P.M.

B131103 Ma
 v.
 Zhang

Merits:
Argued by Clint Feddersen for appellant. Argument waived by the respondent. Cause submitted.

B134845 Rubio
 v.
 Society of Hispanic Professional Engineers

Merits:
Argued by Brenda S. Barton for appellant and by William Arthur Villejos for respondent. Cause submitted.

B133250 Bern
 v.
 Air Dry Corporation of America et al.

Merits:
Argued by Derek Brian Jacobson for appellant and by Jeffrey S. Bolender for respondents. Cause submitted.

DIVISION THREE (Continued)

B134256 Epstein
v.
Hollywood Entertainment District, etc., et al.

Merits:
Argued by Dennis A. Winston for appellant and by Andre J. Cronthall for respondents. Cause submitted.

B133901 Century City Medical Plaza
v.
Sperling, Isaacs & Eisenberg et al.

Merits:
Argued by Steven J. Revitz for appellant and by Douglas G. Benedon for respondents. Cause submitted.

B131378 Mitchell et al.
v.
Miscavige et al.

Merits:
Argued by Stephen Mitchell and Kathleen Carey, appellants in propria persona, and by Kendrick L. Moxon for respondents. Cause submitted.

B135358 Cacioppo
v.
Inter-Con Security Systems, Inc.

Merits:
Argued by Linda M. Battram for appellant and by Calvin House for respondent. Cause submitted.

Court adjourned at 5:00 P.M.

DIVISION FOUR

B134367 People
 v.
 Gainer

Filed order denying petition for rehearing.

DIVISION FIVE

B135839 State of Michigan (Not for Publication)
 v.
 James Beno Rosenwald III

The appeal is dismissed. Rosenwald is to bear the State of Michigan's costs on appeal.

Grignon, J.

We concur: Turner, P.J.
 Godoy Perez, J.

DIVISION SIX

B141562 Lisa F. (Not for Publication)
 v.
 San Luis Obispo Superior Court
 (San Luis Obispo County Dept. of Social Services, r.p.i.)

The petition is denied.

Perren, J.

We concur: Yegan, Acting P.J.
 Coffee, J.

DIVISION SIX (Continued)

B141988 Krebs (Not for Publication)

v.

San Luis Obispo Superior Court

(The People, r.p.i.)

Let a writ of mandate issue commanding respondent court to set aside its order denying the motion for change of venue and to issue a new order granting said motion. The order to show cause and stay, having served their purposes, are discharged.

Yegan, Acting P.J.

We concur: Coffee, J.
 Perren, J.

B130769 Malhiot (Not for Publication)

v.

Armstrong

The judgment is affirmed. Costs to respondents.

Coffee, J.

We concur: Gilbert, P.J.
 Perren, J.